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Here's a set of equations for computing pressure with the Bosch BMP085 pressure sensor that use floating point math instead of the integer math published by Bosch. There are two advantages over the integer math.

- Integer math results in stair-step, jumpy corrections as the input values vary smoothly. This is due to round-off errors in the integer calculations. The floating point math does not suffer from this problem and corrections vary smoothly with changes in input values.
- The equations are much simpler and easier to implement without error. Some new calibration values have been defined in terms of the Bosch calibration constants. Temperature and pressure calculations have been reduced to some simple ratios and three second-order polynomials.


## 1 The Elusive Header File

It is relatively easy to find the C-language reference file bmp085.c (which contains an example of the integer math) on the internet. It is not easy to find the accompanying header file (bmp085.h) which contains some very important details. This file has finally been located and reveals that not all of the 16bit calibration constants contained in the BMP085's non-volatile memory are signed values. In fact, the values $A C_{4}, A C_{5}, A C_{6}$ are all unsigned 16 -bit integers. At least one BMP085 unit has been seen which has an $A C_{4}$ value higher than 32,767 so this distinction is indeed important.

## 2 The Constants

There were a couple of places in the integer math where a constant was added to an expression before right-shifting to provide a rounding behavior instead of truncation. These constants have been removed from the floating point equations.

All references to the BMP085 calibration values (e.g. $A C_{4}$ or $M_{C}$ ) use the integer values of these constants. As mentioned above, be sure to interpret values as unsigned where necessary.

These floating point formulas start with a new set of floating point calibration factors. These are derived from the EEPROM integer calibration data, which is described on the Bosch data sheet. The first three values are only used in computing the final constants below and can be discarded afterwards.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{3} & =160 \cdot 2^{-15} \cdot A C_{3} \\
c_{4} & =10^{-3} \cdot 2^{-15} \cdot A C_{4} \\
b_{1} & =160^{2} \cdot 2^{-30} \cdot B_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

The next four constants are used in the computation of temperature.

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{5} & =\frac{2^{-15}}{160} \cdot A C_{5} \\
c_{6} & =A C_{6} \\
m_{c} & =\frac{2^{11}}{160^{2}} \cdot M_{C} \\
m_{d} & =\frac{M_{D}}{160}
\end{aligned}
$$

Three second order polynomials are used to compute pressure, and they require another nine constants (three for each polynomial).

$$
\begin{aligned}
& x_{0}=A C_{1} \\
& x_{1}=160 \cdot 2^{-13} \cdot A C_{2} \\
& x_{2}=160^{2} \cdot 2^{-25} \cdot B_{2} \\
& y_{0}=c_{4} \cdot 2^{15} \\
& y_{1}=c_{4} \cdot c_{3} \\
& y_{2}=c_{4} \cdot b_{1} \\
& p_{0}=\frac{3791-8}{1600} \\
& p_{1}=1-7357 \cdot 2^{-20} \\
& p_{2}=3038 \cdot 100 \cdot 2^{-36}
\end{aligned}
$$

In subtracting 8 in the numerator of the equation for $p_{0}$, it is assumed the original value was offset for the purpose of integer rounding. If this assumption
is wrong, there will be a small offset in the result of 0.005 mb . However, since the device will almost always have an initial offset error much greater than this it is not of much concern.

The coefficients above have been scaled so that the final results will be temperature $(T)$ in degrees Celsius, and pressure $(P)$ in millibars (a.k.a hectoPascals).

This wraps up the calculation of floating point constants that are used for converting the raw, integer temperature and pressure data into degrees Celsius and millibars.

## 3 The Formulas

The raw real-time data from the BMP085 will be represented by $t_{u}$ for temperature and $p_{u}$ for pressure. The temperature value is just equal to the integer value that is read from the barometer. The pressure reading is formatted a bit different however; it is treated like a fixed point fraction with the radix point just to the right of the LSB. After these integer values are acquired, all remaining calculations below are floating point. These first two formulas treat the integer register values as unsigned quantities.

$$
\begin{gathered}
t_{u}=256 \cdot \mathrm{MSB}+\mathrm{LSB} \\
p_{u}=256 \cdot \mathrm{MSB}+\mathrm{LSB}+\frac{\mathrm{XLSB}}{256}
\end{gathered}
$$

Defining $p_{u}$ in this way alleviates the need to make any further adjustments for the over-sampling ratio (OSS). If OSS is zero, it is not necessary to read the XLSB register and its value can be set to zero.

The temperature computation is quite simple. First we define a new value, $\alpha$ and then compute temperature in terms of this new variable:

$$
\alpha=c_{5}\left(t_{u}-c_{6}\right) ; T=\alpha+\frac{m_{c}}{\alpha+m_{d}}
$$

Computing pressure requires the temperature ( $T$ ) computed above, and uses three second-order polynomials. The first two generate offset $(x)$ and scaling factors $(y)$ which are functions of the difference between the current temperature and 25 C (which we'll call $s$ ).

$$
\begin{gathered}
s=T-25 \\
x=x_{2} s^{2}+x_{1} s+x_{0} \\
y=y_{2} s^{2}+y_{1} s+y_{0}
\end{gathered}
$$

These two factors are now used to compute an initial pressure value, $z$ :


Figure 1: Comparison of Pressure Computations

$$
z=\frac{p_{u}-x}{y}
$$

A polynomial in $z$ gives the final corrected pressure value.

$$
P=p_{2} z^{2}+p_{1} z+p_{0}
$$

## 4 Verification

These results were compared the published Bosch integer code, over a large grid of temperature and pressure values. The difference varies over a range of approximately $\pm 0.05 \mathrm{mb}$ and the average offset is around 0.02 mb . Figure 1 shows a 3-dimensional plot of the difference between integer and floating point values. The $x / y$ axes cover different values of temperature and un-corrected pressure input values. The vertical scale is in millibars, and is color coded as shown by the bar on the right. The jagged appearance of this plot is entirely due to round-off errors in the integer math.

These formulas therefore accurately express the proper corrections over a large range of inputs, without the stair-step, jumpy nature of corrections from integer math.

## 5 Usefulness

To keep this in perspective, it is worthwhile to take a look at the Bosch specifications:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\text { Absolute accuracy } & \pm 2.5 \mathrm{mb} \\
\text { Relative accuracy } & \pm 0.2 \mathrm{mb}
\end{array}
$$

The improved floating point algorithm gives an improvement on the order of 0.05 mb , which is about one-fourth of the relative accuracy specification.

So, the improvements are of limited value - but still may be of interest to some folks. These equations are also much simpler to implement and may be preferable if the floating point resources are available on the hardware used to make the conversion.

## 6 An Example

Here is an example of the calculations to dispel any questions about the equations above.

First, the values of the calibration coefficients. The values $A C_{4}, A C_{5}, A C_{6}$ are unsigned 16 -bit integers. All other values are signed (two's complement) 16 -bit quantities.

| BMP085 Calibration Data |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A C_{1}$ | 7911 | $B_{1}$ | 5498 |
| $A C_{2}$ | -934 | $B_{2}$ | 46 |
| $A C_{3}$ | -14306 | $M_{B}$ | -32768 |
| $A C_{4}$ | 31567 | $M_{C}$ | -11075 |
| $A C_{5}$ | 25671 | $M_{D}$ | 2432 |
| $A C_{6}$ | 18974 |  |  |

Next, the derived values - not given to a great deal of precision:

| Derived Calibration Data |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $c_{3}$ | -69.8535 | $x_{0}$ | 7911 | $p_{0}$ | 2.3644 |
| $c_{4}$ | 0.000963 | $x_{1}$ | -18.2422 | $p_{1}$ | 0.993 |
| $c_{5}$ | 0.0049 | $x_{2}$ | 0.0351 | $p_{2}$ | 0.000442 |
| $c_{6}$ | 18974 | $y_{0}$ | 31.567 |  |  |
| $b_{1}$ | 0.1311 | $y_{1}$ | -0.0673 |  |  |
| $m_{c}$ | -886 | $y_{2}$ | 0.000126 |  |  |
| $m_{d}$ | 15.2 |  |  |  |  |

This data remains constant for any given sensor and need not be read and computed every time the sensor is powered on. Once all values are computed, it is not necessary to retain the values of $c_{3}, c_{4}, b_{1}$.

Given a hexadecimal temperature reading of $0 x 69 \mathrm{EC}$ and a pressure reading of 0 x 982 FC 0 , the calculations are as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{u} & =256 \cdot 0 \times 69+0 \times \mathrm{xEC}=27116 \\
p_{u} & =256 \cdot 0 \times 98+0 \times 2 \mathrm{~F}+\frac{0 \mathrm{xC} 0}{256}=38959.75 \\
T & =23.7764 \\
s & =-1.2236 \\
x & =7933.4 \\
y & =31.6495 \\
z & =980.3108 \\
P & =980.0456
\end{aligned}
$$

## 7 Resolution

In the above example, it is easy to verify that one LSB in temperature data is equivalent to roughly 0.006 deg C .

The value of $y$ is approximately equal to the number of integer $p_{u}$ counts per millibar of pressure. In this example, the OSS was 3 , so the actual resolution of $p_{u}$ is $2^{-3}$ or 0.125 . From this, the resolution of the raw readings is approximately $31.65 \cdot 8 \approx 253$ counts per millibar. One LSB in the data is then equal to about 0.004 mb or 0.4 Pa . This corresponds to about 1.5 inches of altitude change at sea level.

## 8 Performance

To demonstrate the improvement in using floating point math, two plots were generated using a fixed pressure reading of $0 x 980000$. The temperature reading was incremented from 0 x 6800 to 0 x 68 FF (roughly, from 20.6 to 22.3 deg C ). Pressure computations were performed with these inputs using both the Bosch integer code and the floating point formulas developed above.

The figure 2 shows the two results plotted on top of one another. There is not a lot of difference, although the blue line (integer math) is noticeably noisier.

To make the differences easier to see, each of the lines was "flattened" by subtracting a best-fit straight line from each result. The next two graphs show this result. In the case of integer math (figure 3), the only thing clearly visible is the jumpy, staircase behavior resulting from integer rounding. Notice that the magnitude of these jumps is typically on the order of 0.03 to 0.04 mb which is about 10 times larger than the resolution of 0.004 mb with $\mathrm{OSS}=3$.

The data computed with floating point math (figure 4) shows a completely different picture. First, the y-axis scale is 10 times smaller than in the preceding graph. The parabolic behavior of the corrections (due to the various secondorder polynomials in the math) is clearly visible with almost no apparent noise. Over this temperature range, the corrections only change by a little more than 1-bit of resolution $(0.004 \mathrm{mb})$, but the corrections are not going to add 10 or more LSB counts of noise to the data as in the case with integer math.

Figure 5 shows a similar comparison of corrections over a wider temperature range. Here, the parabolic nature of corrections is just barely visible in the integer data. The floating point results are striking in comparison.

Again, keeping this in perspective, the correction jumps of about 0.04 mb seen with integer math correspond to about one foot of altitude change at sea level. Can the sensor really detect these small changes in level, especially superimposed upon local changes in barometric pressure?


Figure 2: Comparison of Pressure Computations


Figure 3: Deviation of integer math from straight line.


Figure 4: Deviation of floating point math from straight line.


Figure 5: Comparison over a wider temperature range.

